

©
Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2013



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073
dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. II വാല്യം 2	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	5th March 2013 2013 മാർച്ച് 5 14th Phalguna 1934 1934 ഫാൽഗുനം 14	No. നമ്പർ	10
---------------------	---	---	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 49/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 5th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Siraj Daily, Kozhikode and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Binish, K., Karakad Parambil, Marykkunnu P. O., Kozhikode-12 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri K. Binish from service by the management of Siraj Daily, Kozhikode is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 52/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 5th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Mirshad, C., Proprietor, Chakkalakkal Packaging Industries, Ambalavalavu, Pallikkal P. O.-673 653, Malappuram District and the

worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Athiparambath Janaki, D/o Chakki, Kariyedathkunnu Veedu, Jawans Nagar, Pallikkal P. O.-673 653, Malappuram District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Athiparambath Janaki by the owner of Chakkalakkal Packaging Industries, Ambalavalavu, Pallikkal P. O., Malappuram District is Justifiable? If not, what is the remedy?

(3)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 66/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 7th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Proprietor, Professional Security Service, P. O. Kuthiravattom, Kozhikode-673 016 and (2) Chairman, Baby Memorial Hospital, I. G. Road, Kozhikode and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kozhikode District Security Staff Association (CITU), CITU District Centre, S. K. Temple Road, Kozhikode-673 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to five security workers by the management of Professional Security Service, Kuthiravattom, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(4)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 67/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 7th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Kerala Soaps & Oils Ltd., West Hill, Kozhikode-673 005 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Premkumar, K., Mukthi, Odinilam Parambu, Chomath Thazham, P. O. Iranjippalam, Kozhikode-673 005 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment/VRS benefit to Shri K. Premkumar by the management of Kerala Soaps & Oils Ltd., West Hill, Kozhikode is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(5)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 101/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri S. Reji, Proprietor, Sudha Indane Service, Near Municipal Town Hall, Attingal P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Babu, Niranjana Nivas, Kottiyodu, Attingal P. O.-695 101 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Babu, Worker of Sudha Indane Service, Attingal by its management is justifiable ? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(6)

ANNEXURE

G . O. (Rt.) No. 121/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri J. Radhakrishna Pillai, Proprietor, Chothi Enterprises, Kottankkara, Mampuzha, Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Sri G. Madhavan Pillai, General Secretary, Kerala State Cashew Vyavasaya Masdoor Sangh (BMS), BMS Bhavan, Thamarakkulam, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the claim of Smt. Sini, Card No. 1 and Smt. Raji, Card No. 2 to be appointed as monthly rated workman in M/s. Chothi Enterprises, Kottankkara, Kollam is justifiable? If yes, what relief they are entitled to?

(7)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 123/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri S. Shaju, Managing Director, City Constructions, 2nd Floor, 101 SJ Building, Near Dhanya Theatre, Kadappakkada, Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kerala Skilled & General Workers Union, Trade Union, UTUC(M), Venad Nagar, Reg. No. 02/50-01, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Whether the denial of employment to ten workers namely Brehmadasan, Babu, K. Unni, K. Mani, V. Babu, Rajan, S. Sathyan, G. Babu, M. Sunil and D. Sasidharan Pillai by the management of City Construction, Kadappakkada, Kollam is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(8)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 124/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the D.G.M., H.R. & Administrator, Elite Foods (Private) Limited, Aroor P. O., Cherthala and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Aroor Vyavasaya Thozhilali Kendram, Reg. No. 03/13/89, Aroor P. O., Cherthala-688 534, in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the action of the management of M/s. Elite Foods (Private) Limited, Aroor in with-holding two annual increments to Smt. Mary Shyma Peter & Smt. Sherly, P. J., is justifiable? If not what relief they are entitled to?

(9)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 131/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Secretary, Perumbavoor Merchants Association, E. R. 7/64, Vyapara Bhavan, Perumbavoor and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri R. Balakrishnan Nair, Varikkat House, G. K. Pillai Lane, Perumbavoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Sri Balakrishnan Nair, R., Clerk by the Management of Perumbavoor, Merchant Association is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs the worker is entitled to?

(10)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 192/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Joy Ouseph, Thottapilli, Thevara, Cochin-13 (2) Sri Roy Ouseph, Thottapilli, Thevara, Cochin-13 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Dominic, Palakkal House, Pattanacaud P. O., Cherthala-688 531 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Dominic by the Contractors Joy Ouseph and Roy Ouseph is justifiable? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to?

(11)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 193/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Palm Fibre (India) Private Limited, Pathirapally P. O., Alappuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Alleppy Factory Staff Association, Reg. No. (392/78), CITU District Committee Office, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether there is violation of terms of bilateral settlement on wage revision by the management of M/s. Palm Fibre (India) Ltd., Pathirapally as alleged by the Union? If so, what are the reliefs they are entitled to?

(12)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 194/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Manager, West Coast Refrigeration & Food Engineering (Private) Limited (Amalgam Group), Thuravoor P. O., Cherthala (2) The Director, Amalgam Enterprises, Amalgam House, XXIV/1604, Plot No. 9, Bristo Road, Wellington Island, Cochin and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Gracy, M. P., W/o Joy, Thuruthelkkalam House, Eramallur P. O., Cherthala in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Gracy @ Gracy, M. P. in West Coast Refrigeration and Food Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. (Amalgam Group) is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to?

(13)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 202/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Aswas Community Pharmacy, Medical College Junction, Near Indian Coffee House, Alappuzha and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Suresh Kumar, K. S., Kunnil Veedu, Pullikkanakku P. O., Kayamkulam

represented by the Secretary, Kerala Private Pharmacist Association, Alappuzha District Committee, Reg. No. 03-21/88, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of employment to Sri Suresh Kumar, K. S., in Aswas Community Pharmacy by the management is justifiable ?
If not, what is the relief he is entitled to ?

(14)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 203/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Assistant Manager, Supply Co., Alappuzha Depot, (2) The Shop Manager, Labham Store, Supply Co., Kaichoondi Junction, Alappuzha and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. T. K. Bindu, W/o R. Unnikrishnan, Radhabhavanam, Asramam West, Avalookunnu P. O., Alappuzha represented by the President, Supply Co. Workers Federation (AITUC), T. V. Bhavan, Mullackal, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Bindhu, Labham Store, Supply Co., Kaichoondi Junction, Alappuzha is justifiable ? If not, what is the relief she is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

RAMANKUTTY, C.,
Under Secretary to Government.